RESOURCE PACKET

Assessment of Mental Retardation

And

Functionally Delayed



Mental Retardation

1. Definition

State Board of Education Rule 0520-1-9-.01 (15) (i) "Disabilities"

"Mental Retardation" means substantial limitations in present levels of functioning that adversely affect a child's educational performance. It is characterized by significantly impaired intellectual functioning, existing concurrently with deficits in adaptive behavior and manifested during the developmental period.

2. Eligibility Standards

- a. A child shall meet all of the following:
 - (1) significantly impaired intellectual functioning, which is two or more standard deviations below the mean, with consideration given to the standard error of measurement for the test at the 68th percent confidence level, on an individually administered, standardized measure of intelligence. Interpretation of evaluation results shall take into account factors that may affect test performance, including:
 - (a) limited English proficiency;
 - (b) cultural background and differences;
 - (c) medical conditions that impact school performance;
 - (d) socioeconomic status; and
 - (e) communication, sensory, or motor disabilities.
 - Difficulties in these areas cannot be the primary reason for significantly impaired scores on measures of intellectual functioning; and
 - (2) significantly impaired adaptive behavior in the home or community as determined by:
 - (a) a composite score on an individual standardized instrument to be completed with or by the child's principal caretaker which measures two standard deviations or more below the mean. Standard scores shall be used. A composite age equivalent score that represents a 50% delay based on chronological age can be used only if the instrument fails to provide a composite standard score. A composite score two or more standard deviations below the mean cannot be primarily the result of:
 - limited English proficiency;
 - cultural background and differences;
 - medical conditions that impact school performance;
 - socioeconomic status; or
 - communication, sensory, or motor disabilities; and

- (b) additional documentation which may be obtained from systematic documented observations, impressions, developmental history by an appropriate specialist in conjunction with the principal caretaker in the home, community, residential program or institutional setting; and
- (3) significantly impaired adaptive behavior in the school, daycare center, residence, or program as determined by:
 - (a) systematic documented observations by an appropriate specialist, which compare the child with other children of his/her chronological age group. Observations shall address age-appropriate adaptive behaviors. Adaptive behaviors to be observed in each age range are to include:
 - birth 6 years—communication, self-care, social skills, and physical development;
 - 6 13 years—communication, self-care, social skills, home living, community use, self-direction, health and safety, functional academics, and leisure;
 - 14 21 years—communication, self-care, social skills, home-living, community use, self-direction, health and safety, functional academics, leisure, and work; and
 - (b) when appropriate, an individual standardized instrument may be completed with the principal teacher of the child. A composite score on this instrument shall measure two standard deviations or more below the mean. Standard scores shall be used. A composite age equivalent score that represents a 50% delay based on chronological age can be used only if the instrument fails to provide a composite standard score. A composite score two or more standard deviations below the mean cannot be primarily the result of:
 - (c) limited English proficiency
 - (d) cultural background and differences
 - (e) medical conditions that impact school performance
 - (f) socioeconomic status, or
 - (g) communication, sensory, or motor disabilities; and
- b. Developmental history (birth to age 18) indicates delays in cognitive/intellectual abilities and a current demonstration of delays is present in the child's' natural (home and school) environment; and
- c. The characteristics as defined above are present and cause an adverse affect on educational performance in the general education classroom or learning environment.

3. Evaluation Procedures

- a. Evaluation shall include the following:
 - intellectual functioning, determined by appropriate assessment of intelligence/ cognitive abilities, adaptive behavior, norm- or criterion-referenced measures of academic achievement and relevant observations;
 - (2) intellectual impairment manifested during the developmental period (birth to 18) as documented in background information and history; and
 - (3) documentation and assessment that mental retardation has adverse effects on educational performance in the general education classroom or learning environment.

4. Evaluation Participants

- a. Information shall be gathered from the following persons in the evaluation of mental retardation:
 - (1) the parent(s) or guardian/principal caretaker of the child,
 - (2) the child's general education classroom teacher,
 - (3) the special education teacher,
 - (4) an appropriately licensed school psychologist, licensed psychologist, or licensed psychological examiner¹, and
 - (5) other professional personnel, as indicated.

Mental Retardation Standards

¹ Includes Licensed Senior Psychological Examiner ED – 4088 / 2003 – MR / FD Resource Packet Department of Education

MENTAL RETARDATION ELIGIBILITY DOCUMENTATION

Assessment Documentation

Nan	ne of Student Date of Birth/ Ag	je	
Sch	pol System School Grade		
	Eligibility Standards and Procedures Documentation	STANDAR	PD MET?
	Enginity Standards and Procedures Documentation	YES	No
1.	Intellectual Functioning a. Is there significantly impaired intellectual functioning, which is ≥ 2 standard deviations below the mean		
	on an individually administered, standardized measure of intelligence? b. Did interpretation of evaluation results consider factors that may affect test performance, including:		
	Limited English proficiencyCultural background and differences		
	Medical conditions that impact school performance		
	Socioeconomic status		
	Communication, sensory, or motor abilities		
	c. Are the factors above documented on the <i>Exclusionary Factors Worksheet</i> and/or in the <i>Psychoeducational Written Report</i> ?		
2.	Adaptive Behavior – Home (Standardized) a. Is there adaptive behavior of home or community skills from the child's principal caretaker?		
	b. Is the adaptive behavior composite score ≥ 2 standard deviations below the mean of the instrument (or if standard scores were not available does the composite score represent a 50% delay based on		
	chronological age)? c. Did interpretation of evaluation results consider factors that may affect test performance, including:		
	Limited English proficiency		
	Cultural background and differences		
	 Medical conditions that impact school performance Socioeconomic status 	<u> </u>	_
	Communication, sensory, or motor abilities		
	d. Are the factors above documented on the <i>Exclusionary Factors Worksheet</i> and/or in the <i>Psychoeducational Written Report</i> ?		
	e. Additional documentation of adaptive behavior skills:		
3.	Adaptive Behavior – School (Systematic Observations) a. Is there significantly impaired adaptive behavior as determined by systematic observations in the		
	school daycare center, residence, or program, which compares the child with same-age peers? b. Do the observations address age-appropriate adaptive behaviors for the child's chronological age?		
	(Check below at age-appropriate level)		
	 □ Birth – 6: □ Communication □ Self-Care □ Social Skills □ Physical Development □ 6 – 13: □ Communication □ Self-Care □ Social Skills □ Home Living □ Community Use 		
	□ Self-Direction □ Health and Safety □ Functional Academics □ Leisure • □ 14 – 21: □ Communication □ Self-Care □ Social Skills □ Home Living □ Community Use		
	□ Self-Direction □ Health and Safety □ Functional Academics □ Leisure □ Work		
	c. Results of additional documentation of adaptive behavior skills, when appropriate (i.e., standardized school adaptive behavior):		
4.	Was intellectual impairment manifested during the developmental period (birth through 18)?		
5.	Is there a current demonstration of delays present in the student's natural (home and school)		
	environment?		
6.	Does the student's Mental Retardation cause adverse effects on educational performance in the general education classroom or learning environment?		
	1 1		
Sign	ature of School Psychologist Date		
Sign	ature of Other Assessment Team Member Date		

Functionally Delayed

1. Definition

State Board of Education Rule 0520-1-9-.01 (15) (f) "Disabilities"

"Functionally Delayed" means a child who has or develops a continuing disability in intellectual functioning and achievement which significantly affects the ability to think and/or act in the general school program, but who is functioning socially at or near a level appropriate to his/her chronological age.

2. Eligibility Standards

- a. A child shall meet all of the following:
 - (1) significantly impaired intellectual functioning which is two or more standard deviations below the mean, with consideration given to the standard error of measurement for the test at the 68th percent confidence level, on an individually administered, standardized measure of intelligence; Interpretation of evaluation results shall take into account factors that may affect test performance including:
 - (a) limited English proficiency
 - (b) cultural background and differences
 - (c) medical conditions that impact school performance
 - (d) socioeconomic status
 - (e) communication, sensory, or motor disabilities
 - Difficulties in these areas cannot be the primary reason for significantly impaired scores on measures of intellectual functioning.
 - (2) deficient academic achievement which is at or below the fourth percentile in two or more total or composite scores in the following areas:
 - (a) basic reading skills,
 - (b) reading comprehension,
 - (c) mathematics calculation,
 - (d) mathematics reasoning,
 - (e) written expression; and
 - (3) home or school adaptive behavior which is not significantly impaired, or does not fall within mental retardation range.
- b. The characteristics as defined above are present and cause an adverse effect on educational performance in the classroom or learning environment.

3. Evaluation Procedures

- a. Evaluation shall include the following:
 - (1) intellectual functioning determined by the appropriate evaluation of cognitive/intellectual abilities:
 - (2) evaluation of achievement with individually administered tests in the areas of basic reading skills, reading comprehension, mathematics computation, mathematics reasoning, and written expression;
 - (3) adaptive behavior determined by scores on appropriate standardized individual instruments from the home or school, with scores above mental retardation range and not significantly impaired for the measure used; and
 - (4) documentation and assessment that the functional delay has adverse effects on educational performance in the classroom or learning environment.

4. Evaluation Participants

- a. Information shall be gathered from the following persons in the evaluation of functionally delayed:
 - (1) the parent/principal caretaker of the child,
 - (2) the child's general education classroom teacher,
 - (3) the special education teacher,
 - (4) an appropriately licensed school psychologist, licensed psychologist, or licensed psychological examiner,² and
 - (5) other professional personnel, as indicated.

_

Functionally Delayed Standards

² Includes Licensed Senior Psychological Examiner

FUNCTIONALLY DELAYED ELIGIBILITY DOCUMENTATION

Assessment Documentation

Nan	ame of Student Date of	of Birth		Age	
Sch	chool System School			Grade	
	Fligibility Ctandards and Broadynes Decure			Stands	rd Met?
	Eligibility Standards and Procedures Docum	entation		Yes	No
	Intellectual Functioning				110
1.		> 2 otandard	doviotiono bo	olow	
	 a. Is there significantly impaired Intellectual Functioning, which is a the mean on an individually administered, standardized measure 			elow	
	b. Did interpretation of evaluation results consider factors that r				
	including:	,			
	(a) limited English proficiency				
	(b) cultural background and differences				
	(c) medical conditions that impact school performance				
	(d) socioeconomic status				
	(e) communication, sensory, or motor abilitiesc. Are the factors above documented on the Exclusionary Factor	ore Worken	eet and/or in	tho	
	c. Are the factors above documented on the Exclusionary Factor Psychoeducational Written Report?	UIS VVUIKSIIE	eet and/or in		
2.					
	Is there deficient academic achievement, which is at or below the fou	urth (4 th) perc	centile in two ((2)	
	or more of the following areas?				
	<u>Instrument</u>	<u>F</u>	Percentile Sco	<u>re</u>	
	S . 1				
	→basic reading skills →reading comprehension	-			
	- mathematics calculation				
	→ mathematics calculation → mathematics reasoning				
	→written expression				
	'				
3.					
	Is there adaptive behavior (home and/or school) that is NOT SIGNIFI	ICANTLY IM	IPAIRED (doe		
	not fall in the Mental Retardation range)?				
4.	. Does the student's Functional Delay cause adverse effects on educa	ational perfor	mance in the		
4.	general education classroom or learning environment?	ilionai penoi	mance in the		
	general education statement of featuring environments.				
				, ,	
	Signature of School Psychologist		Date	''	
	Signature of Softoor F Sychologist		Date		
				/ /	
	Signature of Assessment Specialist		Date		
	- G				

Referral Considerations – Suspected Mental Retardation

When a student has been referred for assessment to determine the presence of a disability, the IEP team reviews the documentation of the general education interventions used with the student. The team also collects and reviews a variety of readily available information about the student to determine whether additional formal information gathering is needed. Examples of *sources of information* include, but are not limited to the following:

Information from School Records

Information from the Teacher

Grades
TCAP scores
Attendance pattern
Health record
Screening records:
Vision
Hearing
Speech/Language
Discipline records

Instructional levels based on daily
classroom performance
Classroom behavior
General education interventions
attempted
Peer relationships
Information from parent conferences or
interviews
Home behavior
Hobbies and interests
Neighborhood friendships

The following high-risk factors may indicate the presence of mental retardation:

- Academic skill development and adaptive behavior is below that of most, if not all, of the children in the class.
- Work samples evidence delay across all academic areas.
- Test scores fall consistently at or below the 16th percentile on *all subtests* of TCAP tests or other standardized group achievement measures.
- Low performance level cannot be attributed to factors other than mental retardation (i.e., social/emotional, visual, or hearing problem).
- It is difficult for the student to retain information taught from one day to the next.
- There is a delay in development of gross and fine motor coordination.

The IEP team gathers all pertinent data (e.g., documentation of general education interventions, written records, observations, tests, and interviews) to identify the presence of factors indicative of mental retardation.

Considering Exclusionary Factors

When considering the possibility of Mental Retardation, the following factors must be eliminated as the primary cause of the student's learning difficulties:

- 1) limited English proficiency,
- 2) cultural background and differences,

- 3) medical conditions that impact school performance,
- 4) socioeconomic status, and
- 5) communication, sensory, or motor disabilities.

Consideration of possible Mental Retardation is made when:

- 1) exclusionary factors have been sufficiently ruled out, and
- 2) after implementing appropriate general education interventions, the student's learning problems:
 - a. continue to significantly impact his/her ability to access general education curriculum, and/or
 - b. require support that goes beyond the ability of the general educator's expertise.

The initial evaluation should be considered a natural extension of the general education interventions and not as a separate event of information gathering. Data obtained during the general education intervention phase can be used in the initial evaluation. The following data from the general education intervention phase that can be used includes:

- 1) reported areas of academic difficulty,
- 2) documentation of the problem,
- 3) evidence that the problem is chronic,
- 4) medical history and/or reports documenting Mental Retardation,
- 5) records or history of significant developmental delays across all learning domains,
- 6) record of modifications attempted,
- 7) school attendance and school transfer information,
- 8) multi-sensory instructional alternatives, and
- 9) continued lack of progress.

Throughout the evaluation process, the IEP team must apply best practices in assessment. The following assessment standards are provided to guide the selection of assessment tools and decision-making (Iowa, Wisconsin). All IEP team members must clearly understand:

- 1) the purpose for the evaluation,
- 2) that assessment is a solution-focused process, searching for answers to well-defined questions rather than solely determining a condition or classification,
- 3) the purpose for the evaluation,
- 4) that assessment is a solution-focused process, searching for answers to well-defined questions, rather than solely determining a condition or classification,
- 5) the limitations and technical soundness, including reliability and validity, of the various assessment tools used,
- 6) that assessment tools and procedures need to be culturally, racially, and linguistically unbiased,

- 7) that assessment is multifaceted and includes:
 - a. multiple data sources (e.g., teachers, parents, students, and other service providers familiar with the student),
 - b. multiple types of data (e.g., quantitative and qualitative),
 - c. multiple types of tools and procedures (e.g., standardized measures and alternative methods of assessment), and
 - d. multiple environments (e.g., classroom, home, work, and community settings).
- 8) that the assessment process must provide prescriptive¹ information and include documentation of an individual's strengths as well as weaknesses,
- 9) that assessment must evaluate the student's performance within the context of his/her current environment, and
- 10) that assessment of adaptive behavior must include direct measures (e.g., informal and structured observations), as well as indirect measures (e.g., third-party interviews and rating scales), to evaluate the individual's performance in comparison to same-aged peers from similar cultural backgrounds.

Once the evaluation information is compiled, the IEP team convenes to analyze all data and determine eligibility. If the IEP team determines that the student has a disability and is in need of special education and related services, an IEP must be developed.

Referral Considerations – Suspected MR

ED – 4088 / 2003 – MR / FD Resource Packet Department of Education

¹ Prescriptive assessment procedures focus primarily on the student's current level of educational performance and adaptive behavior skills.

General Education Instructional Setting Concerns about a specific student's learning behavior General Education Interventions Input and Interventions from Colleagues Suggested Pre-Referral Interventions **Further Informal Evaluation Assessment (IEP) Team Begin Formal Evaluation** Use all data gathered to make eligibility **IEP Team** decision

STEP 1 - General Education Interventions

The teacher in the general education instructional setting begins to address concerns about a student's learning behavior by seeking out a simple solution first, applying that potential solution for an appropriate amount of time, making a systematic decision about its effectiveness and, if necessary, moving toward more complex interventions.

STEP 2 – Development of Interventions

Next, the teacher may decide to bring his/her concerns to the attention of colleagues in the school for further input, problem solving, and development of pre-referral interventions.

STEP 3 - IEP Team

The information from the general education interventions, pre-referral interventions, and the informal evaluations is reviewed to decide whether to request the formation of an Individual Education Program (IEP) team.

STEP 4 - Initial Evaluation

An IEP team is established to analyze information that has been gathered, seek parental permission for formal evaluation, and conduct the initial evaluation.

STEP 5 – Data Analysis & Eligibility

The student's IEP team convenes to analyze all of the data gathered and determine eligibility for special education services. If eligible, the IEP team begins to write the student's IEP.

EXCLUSIONARY FACTORS WORKSHEETMental Retardation and Functionally Delayed

Each factor must be ruled out as the primary reason for the student's inability to progress in the general education curriculum, and for obtained cognitive and adaptive scores.	YES	No
Lack of instruction in reading and math		1
Does information obtained during assessment indicate lack of instruction in reading and math is not the determinant factor in this student's inability to progress in the general education curriculum?		
2. Limited English Proficiency		
Answer the following questions		
Is there a language other than English spoken by this student?		
 Is there a language other than English spoken in the student's home? 		
 Are there any specific dialect or cultural influences that would affect the student's ability to speak or understand English? 		
If questions in Section 2 are NO, limited English proficiency is not a determinant factor.		
If any of the questions Section 2 are YES, please document the reason(s) that English proficiency is not the primary reason for the student's deficit cognitive and/or adaptive scores.	-	
3. Cultural Background Differences		
Document all information gathered in assessment that would exclude environmental or cultural disadvantage as the determinant factor for this student's inability to access general education		
curriculum or perform significantly below normal on measures of Cognition and Adaptive Behavior		
(MR) or Academic Achievement (FD).		
The Assessment Instrument Selection Form (AISF) has been completed.		T
Is there compelling evidence from data gathered and information generated to indicate this student is	+	
unable to learn or perform on assessments due to cultural or background differences?		
4. Medical Conditions That Impact School Performance		
Document all information gathered through assessment that would exclude medical or health		
reasons for this student's deficient performance on both assessments of cognition and adaptive		
behavior.		
Does the student have a medical history and/or school history of medical or health-related difficulties?		
 If yes, would the student's health-related difficulties cause the student to have difficulty accessing general education curriculum? 		
Are there school records of illness or health-related conditions that would impact negatively on this		
student's ability to progress in the general education curriculum? Sociona progress Status or Communication Sociona progress Motor Impoirments		
5. Socioeconomic Status, or Communication, Sensory or Motor Impairments Document all information gathered through assessment that would exclude sensory or motor		_
impairments as a factor for this student's deficient performance on assessments of cognition and		
adaptive functioning.		
Are the student's measured skills on the cognitive assessment consistently in the significantly deficient		T
range across the assessment battery (language and visual/motor skills are equally deficient)?		
Are the student's measured skills of home adaptive behavior consistently in the significantly deficient range across the adaptive area domains (skills in communication, functional daily life skills, and motor skills are in the deficient or pear deficient range)? (MR Only)		
skills are in the deficient or near-deficient range)? – (MR Only) Are the student's observed behaviors in the classroom and school setting consistent with significantly deficient cognitive (MR and ED) and adaptive (MR only) or academic (ED only) functioning?		
 deficient cognitive (MR and FD) and adaptive (MR only) or academic (FD only) functioning? Does the assessment data indicate that lack of opportunity to learn due to socioeconomic 	-	
circumstances is not the cause or <u>primary reason</u> for the student's deficient scores obtained on cognitive and adaptive (MR) or achievement (FD) skills measures?		
If the questions in Section 5 are YES, sensory or motor skills are not the reason for the student's		
inability to progress in the general education classroom and obtained deficient scores of cognition and adaptive skills.		

SYSTEMATIC ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR CHARACTERISTICS CHECKLIST Age Range – Birth through 5 years

Student	D.O.B	Age	School	Grade
Compare to	he child with other ch	ildren of the sai	me chronological age	on <u>all items</u> .
Communication Skills This child's <i>mode of comm</i> □ vocalizations and/or spec □ gestures and/or pointing. □ verbal response using on □ verbal response using co	unication is primarily ific response to audition or two word phrase	tory or visual st	imuli.	
In regard to verbal express ☐ has serious difficulty when co ☐ has mild difficulty when co ☐ has little or no difficulty when co ☐ has little	n compared to same ompared to same ag	age peers. e peers.	child	
In regard to <i>understanding</i> ☐ has serious difficulty when co ☐ has mild difficulty when co ☐ has little or no difficulty when co	n compared to same ompared to same ag	age peers. e peers.		
In regard to knowledge of v ☐ is seriously limited. ☐ is somewhat limited. ☐ is about average.	vocabulary , this child	d		
Comments regarding comm	unication skills			
Self Care In general, this child's self h are seriously limited and a are somewhat more limited are about the same as pe	appear to be significated than peers.	antly below thos	se of same age peers	;.
In regard to <i>dressing</i> himsel needs much more supervorument needs somewhat more as needs no more assistance. □	rision and assistance ssistance than peers.	than peers.		
In regard to eating and drin needs much more superv needs somewhat more as needs no additional assis	rision and assistance ssistance than peers.			
Comments regarding self ca	re skills			

Page 1 of 2

Student		•
Compare the child with other childre	n of the same chronological	age on <u>all items</u> .
Social skills This child's social skills □ appear to be very immature. □ appear to be somewhat immature. □ appear to be about average.		
In regard to <i>interacting with adults</i> , this child ☐ has serious difficulty. ☐ has mild difficulty. ☐ has little or no difficulty.		
In regard to <i>interacting with peers</i> , this child ☐ has serious difficulty. ☐ has mild difficulty. ☐ has little or no difficulty.		
Comments regarding social skills		
Physical Development This child's <i>gross motor skills</i> □ appear to be significantly delayed. □ appear to be mildly delayed. □ appear to be about average. This child's <i>fine motor skills</i> □ appear to be significantly delayed. □ appear to be mildly delayed. □ appear to be about average.		
Comments regarding physical development		
Please add any other comments or information that will ass	ist in evaluating this child's ada	aptive behaviors appropriately.
Signature of Observer Relation	ship to Child	Dates of Observation(s)

SYSTEMATIC ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR CHARACTERISTICS CHECKLIST Age Range – 6 through 13 years

Student	D.O.B	Age	School	Grade
Compare the stu	dent with other st	udents of the s	ame chronological age	e on <u>all items</u> .
Communication Skills This child's <i>mode of communication</i> □ vocalizations and/or specific r □ gestures and/or pointing. □ verbal response using one or □ verbal response using complete	esponse to audito two word phrase	ory or visual sti	muli.	
This student's <i>verbal communic</i> ☐ seriously below average. ☐ somewhat below average. ☐ about average.	cation skills are			
This student's <i>written commun</i> ☐ seriously below average. ☐ somewhat below average. ☐ about average.	<i>ication</i> skills are			
In regard to <i>listening comprehe</i> ☐ has serious difficulty following ☐ has mild difficulty following dire ☐ has no difficulty following dire	directions. ections.	ent		
In comparison to students of the ☐ is seriously limited. ☐ is somewhat limited. ☐ is about average.	same chronolog	ical age, this st	udent's knowledge o	f vocabulary
Comments regarding communic	ation skills			
Self Care In regard to personal hygiene t □ appears to have little understa □ sometimes neglects hygiene. □ has appropriate personal hygi	anding and often	neglects hygiel	ne.	
This student's <i>ability to maintal</i> . □ seriously below average. □ somewhat below average. □ about average.	in adequate self	-care during the	e school day is genera	ally
Comments regarding self care s	kills	·····		

Page 1 of 4

Student
Compare the student with other students of the same chronological age on all items.
Social Skills This student's <i>interaction with peers</i> appears to be □ seriously immature. □ somewhat immature. □ about average.
This student's <i>interaction with adults</i> appears to be □ seriously immature. □ somewhat immature. □ about average.
In regard to <i>understanding social interaction</i> (verbal and nonverbal cues, saying please and thank you, apologizing when appropriate), this student generally □ seems seriously limited. □ seems somewhat limited. □ appears to understand adequately.
Comments regarding social skills
School/Home Living In the school or home setting, this student ☐ is unable or requires supervision to complete daily tasks or chores. ☐ is limited in the completion of daily tasks or chores. ☐ is able to complete daily tasks or chores with little or no assistance. Comments regarding school/home living

Community Use When moving about the school and/or community, this student □ needs full supervision to move about the school/community. □ is able to move about the school/community with some assistance. □ is able to move from place to place with little or no assistance.
In regard to school and/or community rules , this student ☐ always exhibits inappropriate behaviors and rule violations, which appears to be due to a lack of understanding. ☐ sometimes exhibits inappropriate behaviors and rule violations, which appear to be due to a lack of understanding. ☐ typically exhibits appropriate behaviors.
Comments regarding community use

Student	Page 3 of
Compare the student with other students of the same chronological age on <u>all items</u> .	
Self-Direction In regard to working independently, this student □ requires much more assistance and supervision than peers. □ requires somewhat more assistance and supervision than peers. □ is about the same as peers.	
In regard to self correction of behavior , this student □ rarely exhibits self correction. □ is sometimes able to self correct. □ is about the same as peers in regard to self correction.	
When compared to peers in the ability to <i>plan and organize tasks and activities</i> , this student □ has serious difficulty planning and organizing. □ needs some assistance with planning and organizing. □ is as capable as peers to plan and organize.	
Comments regarding self direction	
Health and Safety In regard to safety issues, this student ☐ disregards danger signals and would put himself/herself in danger without supervision. ☐ has some understanding of dangerous situations. ☐ understands as well as peers the need to avoid dangerous situations. In regard to personal health and safety issues, this student ☐ has little or no understanding.	
 □ has some understanding. □ is comparable to peers in regard to health and safety issues. 	
In regard to <i>illness or injury</i> , this student □ seems unable to exhibit behaviors to help himself/herself. □ responds, but needs more assistance than others his/her age. □ exhibits appropriate behaviors to deal with the situation.	
Comments regarding health and safety	
Functional Academics (ability to use skills as part of daily living skills) In regard to functional reading skills, this student ☐ is significantly below peers (3 or more grade levels). ☐ is somewhat below peers (2 to 3 grade levels). ☐ is about average with peers (no more than 2 grade levels).	

In regard to *functional math skills*, this student

is significantly below peers (3 or more grade levels).
is somewhat below peers (2 to 3 grade levels).

☐ is about average with peers (no more than 2 grade levels).

Student		
Compare the studen	nt with other students of the same chro	nological age on <u>all items</u> .
In regard to <i>functional writing skill</i> ☐ is significantly below peers (3 or in a somewhat below peers (2 to 3) ☐ is about average with peers (no respectively)	more grade levels). grade levels).	
Comments regarding functional aca	idemics	
Leisure In regard to free time or play time, seems to have little or no idea ho has some idea how to deal with f uses free time appropriately.	ow to spend free time.	
☐ has some difficulty following rules	this student les, taking turns, and interacting appros s, taking turns, and interacting approp s, take turns, and interact appropriately	riately.
Comments regarding leisure time ad	ctivities	
Please add any other comments or info	rmation that will assist in evaluating this st	tudent's adaptive behaviors appropriately.
Signature of Observer	Relationship to Child	Dates of Observation(s)

SYSTEMATIC ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR CHARACTERISTICS CHECKLIST Age Range – 14 through 21 years

Student	_ D.O.B	Age	School	Grade
Compare the stud	dent with other stu	udents of the sa	ame chronological age	e on <u>all items</u> .
Communication Skills This child's <i>mode of communic</i> □ vocalizations and/or specific re □ gestures and/or pointing. □ verbal response using one or to □ verbal response using comple	esponse to audito	•	muli.	
This student's <i>verbal communio</i> ☐ seriously below average. ☐ somewhat below average. ☐ about average.	cation skills are			
This student's <i>written communi</i> ☐ seriously below average. ☐ somewhat below average. ☐ about average.	<i>cation</i> skills are			
In regard to <i>listening comprehe</i> ☐ has serious difficulty following ☐ has mild difficulty following direct ☐ has no difficulty following direct	directions. ections.	ent		
In comparison to students of the ☐ is seriously limited. ☐ is somewhat limited. ☐ is about average.	same chronologio	cal age, this st	udent's knowledge d	of vocabulary
Comments regarding communication				
Self Care In regard to personal hygiene th □ appears to have little understa □ sometimes neglects hygiene. □ is able to maintain appropriate	nding and often r	neglects hygiei	ne.	
This student's <i>ability to maintain</i> ☐ seriously below average. ☐ somewhat below average. ☐ about average.	n adequate self-	care during the	e school day is gener	ally
Comments regarding self care sk				

Page 1 of 5

Student
Compare the student with other students of the same chronological age on <u>all items</u> .
Social Skills This student's <i>interaction with peers</i> appears to be □ seriously immature. □ somewhat immature. □ about average.
This student's <i>interaction with adults</i> appears to be ☐ seriously immature. ☐ somewhat immature. ☐ about average.
In regard to <i>understanding social interaction</i> (initiating/entering conversation, saying please and thank you, apologizing when appropriate), this student generally seems seriously limited. seems somewhat limited. appears to understand adequately.
Comments regarding social skills
In the <i>school or home setting</i> , this student is unable or requires supervision to complete daily tasks or chores. is limited in the completion of daily tasks or chores. is able to complete daily tasks or chores with little or no assistance. Comments regarding school/home living
Community Use When moving about the school and/or community, this student □ needs full supervision to move about the school/community. □ is able to move about the school/community with some assistance. □ is able to move from place to place with little or no assistance.
In regard to school and/or community rules, this student always exhibits inappropriate behaviors and rule violations, which appears to be due to a lack of understanding. sometimes exhibits inappropriate behaviors and rule violations which appears to be due to a lack of understanding typically exhibits appropriate behaviors.
In regard to access to community services , this student □ requires considerable support to access needed services (doctor, dentist, social service agency). □ can access needed services with some support. □ can access needed services as independently as peers.
Comments regarding community use

Student
Compare the student with other students of the same chronological age on all items.
Self-Direction In regard to working independently, this student □ requires much more assistance and supervision than peers. □ requires somewhat more assistance and supervision than peers. □ is about the same as peers.
In regard to <i>self correction of behavior</i> , this student ☐ rarely exhibits self correction. ☐ is sometimes able to self correct. ☐ is about the same as peers in regard to self correction.
When compared to peers in the ability to <i>plan and organize tasks and activities</i> , this student ☐ has serious difficulty planning and organizing. ☐ needs some assistance with planning and organizing. ☐ is as capable as peers to plan and organize.
Comments regarding self direction
Health and Safety In regard to <i>safety issues</i> , this student ☐ disregards danger signals and would put himself/herself in danger without supervision. ☐ has some understanding of dangerous situations. ☐ understands as well as peers the need to avoid dangerous situations.
In regard to <i>personal health and safety issues</i> , this student ☐ has little or no understanding. ☐ has some understanding. ☐ is comparable to peers in regard to health and safety issues.
In regard to <i>illness or injury</i> , this student ☐ seems unable to exhibit behaviors to help himself/herself. ☐ responds but needs more assistance than others his/her age. ☐ exhibits appropriate behaviors to deal with the situation.
Comments regarding health and safety
Functional Academics (ability to use skills as part of daily living skills) In regard to functional reading skills, this student ☐ is significantly below peers (6 or more grade levels). ☐ is somewhat below peers (3 to 6 grade levels). ☐ is about average with peers (no more than 3 grade levels).

Student
Compare the student with other students of the same chronological age on all items.
In regard to <i>functional math skills</i> , this student ☐ is significantly below peers (6 or more grade levels). ☐ is somewhat below peers (3 to 6 grade levels). ☐ is about average with peers (no more than 3 grade levels).
In regard to <i>functional writing skills</i> , this student ☐ is significantly below peers (6 or more grade levels). ☐ is somewhat below peers (3 to 6 grade levels). ☐ is about average with peers (no more than 3 grade levels).
Comments regarding functional academics
Leisure In regard to <i>free time or play time</i> , this student □ seems to have little or no idea how to spend free time. □ has some idea how to deal with free time. □ uses free time appropriately.
When <i>playing games with peers</i> , this student ☐ has serious difficulty following rules, taking turns, and interacting appropriately. ☐ has some difficulty following rules, taking turns, and interacting appropriately. ☐ is as able as peers to follow rules, take turns, and interact appropriately.
Comments regarding leisure time activities
Work This student's awareness of various types of jobs and personal work preferences □ is very limited. □ is somewhat limited. □ is comparable to peers.
The student's ability to maintain appropriate <i>behavioral/emotional self-control in the work place</i> □ is very limited. □ is somewhat limited. □ is comparable to peers.
The student <i>understands and can apply the skills</i> necessary to <i>find and hold a job</i> □ only with considerable support. □ with some support. □ independently or with same level of support needed by peers.
Comments regarding student's work situation

Student		, age of an
Compare the stude	nt with other students of the same chron	ological age on <u>all items</u> .
Please add any other comments or info	ormation that will assist in evaluating this stu	ident's adaptive behaviors appropriately.
Signature of Observer	Relationship to Child	Dates of Observation(s)

SYSTEMATIC OBSERVATIONS - ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR Age range - Birth through 5 years

(Additional Documentation of Adaptive Behavior Functioning in Home or School)

e is above) wit	ge	School	Grade
te skills. Mark	Y (yes),		trol Student). Control Student not known) for each behavior on aretaker/teacher.
Target	Control	Evamples of "N"	Marked items for Target Student
	Control	Litalliples of 14	Marked Items for Target Student
iestion			
2")			
.: /			
Target	Control	Examples of "N"	Marked items for Target Student
raiget	Control	Examples of 14	marked items for ranger ordident
Target	Control	Evamples of "N"	Marked items for Target Student
raiget	Control	Examples of 14	marked items for ranger ordident
· ·			
у			
Δ			
Target	Control	Examples of "N"	Marked items for Target Student
14.901		=xampioo oi ii	markou komo los sargos otadons
on			
hold			
	Target Target Target	Target Control Target Control Target Control Target Control	Target Control Examples of "N" Target Control Examples of "N" Target Control Examples of "N" Target Control Examples of "N"

SYSTEMATIC OBSERVATION – ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR Age Range – 6 through 13 years

(Additional Documentation of Adaptive Behavior Functioning in Home or School)

Page 1 of 2

Student D.O.B	A	ge	_ School	Grade
Compare Target Student (whose name is above have approximately age appropriate skills. Ma students. Some items can be co	rk Y (ye	s), N (no	o), or NK (not known	n) for each behavior on both
Area: Communication	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Mar	rked items for Target Student
States first and last name when asked				
2. Speaks in full sentences				
3. Follows instructions requiring an action and an object				
4. Listens to a story for 5 minutes				
Vocabulary seems appropriate for age				
Starts a conversation of interest to others				
7. Length and content of verbal interactions are age				
appropriate				
Area: Self-Care	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Mar	rked items for Target Student
1. Buttons coat				
Takes care of personal needs (i.e. toileting, washing				
hands)				
3. Ties shoes				
Can find restroom unassisted				
5. Wears appropriate clothing for weather conditions				
6. Can go through lunch line without assistance				
7. Can go to office without assistance		_		
Area: Social Skills	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Mai	rked items for Target Student
1. Uses names of others				
Participates in group activities at recess or during free time				
3. Has more than one friend				
Not overly dependent on others				
5. Shows sympathy for others when they are sad or upset				
6. Shows interest in the ideas others				
7. Congratulates others when something good happens				
Area: School/Home Living	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Mai	rked items for Target Student
Shows respect for the property and rights of others				
Cleans up materials around desk or table				
Changes easily from one activity to another				
Keeps up with personal belongings				
5. Returns borrowed books and supplies				
Area: Community Use	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Mar	rked items for Target Student
Demonstrates understanding of the function of money				
2. Obeys people in authority				
3. Understands the function of a clock				
4. Runs errands to various locations in school building				
5. States current day of the week when asked				
States value of penny, nickel, dime, & quarter				

SYSTEMATIC OBSERVATION - ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR Age Range - 6 through 13 years

(Additional Documentation of Adaptive Behavior Functioning in Home or School)

Page 2 of 2

Area: Self-Direction	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Studen
Routinely stays put when asked			
2. Follows classroom rules			
Completes routine classroom tasks in a reasonable			
amount of time			
4. Controls anger or hurt feelings when denied his/her			
own way			
5. Apologizes for mistakes or errors in judgment			
Keeps working on the assigned task even when difficult			
7. Asks for help when needed			
Area: Health & Safety	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Studen
Does not intrude into the personal space of others			
2. Handles school equipment appropriately			
3. Follows safety rules on playground			
4. Shows caution around dangerous activities			
5. Asks to see School Nurse or other adult when ill or hurt.			
Area: Functional Academics	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Studen
1. Counts from 1 to 10			
2. Recognizes all letters of alphabet.			
3. Reads own name			
4. Reads words appropriate for age			
5. Writes first and last name without model			
6. States days of the week in order			
7. Locates important dates on calendar			
Area: Leisure	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Studen
1. Plays with toys or other objects alone or with others			-
2. Shows interest in the activities of others			
3. Shares toys or possessions without being told to do so			
4. Follows rules in a simple game without being reminded			
5. Tries a new activity to learn something new			
6. Invites a peer to join a game			

SYSTEMATIC OBSERVATION – ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR Age Range – 14 through 21 years

(Additional Documentation of Adaptive Behavior Functioning in Home or School)

Student _____ D.O.B. ____ Age ____ School _____ Grade ___

Compare Target Student (whose name is above) with peer of same age (Control Student). Control Student should have approximately age appropriate skills. Mark Y (yes), N (no), or NK (not known) for each behavior on both students. Some items can be completed by interview of teacher or target student.

Area: Communication	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Student
1. Listens to a story for 5 minutes			
2. Uses age appropriate vocabulary			
3. Speaks in complex sentences containing "because"			
Starts conversations of interest to others			
Remembers and relates experiences in detail when asked			
6. Answers complex questions			
Area: Self-Care	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Student
1. Takes care of personal needs at age appropriate level			
Can go through lunch line without assistance			
3. Demonstrates adequate hygiene			
4. Recognizes need to go to clinic			
Area: Social Skills	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Student
Not overly dependent on others			
Shows interest the ideas of others			
3. Keeps a stable group of friends			
4. Shows sympathy for others when they are sad or upset			
5. Congratulates others when something good happens			
6. Makes plans with others to attend activities			
7. Goes on dates			
Area: School/Home Living	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Student
1. Cleans up materials around desk or table when asked			
Keeps up with personal belongings			
3. Returns borrowed books and supplies			
4. Regularly cleans up desk with workspace without being			
asked			
5. Performs minor repairs			
Area: Community Use	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Student
Runs errands to various locations in school building			
2. States current day of the week when asked			
3. States value of penny, nickel, dime, & quarter			
4. Carries money to make small purchases			
5. Gives directions to help someone find a location a mile			
away			
6. Can use a map appropriately			

SYSTEMATIC OBSERVATION - ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR Age Range - 14 through 21 years

(Additional Documentation of Adaptive Behavior Functioning in Home or School)

Page 2 of 2

	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Stude
Area: Self-Direction 1. Completes routine classroom tasks in reasonable time	1 900		got out to
Controls anger or hurt feelings when denied own way			
3. Keeps working on assigned task even when difficult			
4. Returns on time when requested to be back in			
classroom			
Asks teacher for school assignments after being absent			
Area: Health & Safety	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Stude
1. Follows safety rules			
2. When confused, asks for help			
3. Shows caution around dangerous activities			
4. Asks to see School Nurse or other adult when ill or hurt			
5. Could make appointment with medical doctor if needed			
Area: Functional Academics	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Stud
1. Can print full name, and home address without a model			
2. Locates important dates on the calendar			
3. Tells time correctly, using a watch or clock with hands			
4. Writes notes, letters, or E-mail			
5. Can use a calculator appropriately			
Uses a dictionary, encyclopedia, or Internet to find information			
7. Reads the newspaper or magazines to find current			
events Area: Leisure	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Stude
	Target	Control	Examples of N. Markeu items for rarget Stude
1. Follows rules in a simple game without being reminded 2. Tries a new activity to learn something new		-	
3. Joins others in activities appropriate for age			
4. Participates in organized activity such as dance or		 	
sports			
Area: Work	Target	Control	Examples of "N" Marked items for Target Stud
1. Can name five occupations	300		
2. Works without disturbing others			
3. Accepts criticism of a job without showing anger			
4. Attentive to simple job for 10 minutes with distractions			
5. Can complete a job application with little assistance			

ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT SELECTION FORM

for Mental Retardation & Functionally Delayed

School_____

Date_____

Student's Name_____

Students from different cultural or language backgrounds, the economically disadvantaged, and students with sensory impairments, or other disabilities are often overrepresented in programs for special education. To ensure that the abilities of students from underrepresented groups are accurately assessed, they should be evaluated with an instrument that is sensitive to cultural/linguistic/economic differences and is normatively appropriate for students with sensory or physical disabilities.
If any items checked below might cause the student's true abilities to be masked, and thereby affect performance in the areas evaluated, an alternative assessment should be administered. Professional judgment should determine the extent of these concerns so that tests/evaluative materials selected and administered can be sensitive to these issues.
 Check all factors that apply to this student. Use available records, interviews with parents, etc., to obtain data. If none of the factors apply, check the appropriate blank at the bottom of this form. No set number of items must be checked to make a determination.
Limited enrichment experiences outside the home Limited developmental experiences
Irregular attendance (23% of the time during a grading period)
Transience in elementary school (at least 3 moves) Home responsibilities/necessary pupil employment interferes with learning activities
Geographic isolation
Residence in a depressed economic area Low family income at subsistence level
Family unable to afford enrichment materials and/or experiences Dominant, first-acquired or language spoken in the home is other than English
Limited opportunity to acquire depth in English (English not spoken in home, transience due to migrant
employment of family, dialectal differences acting as a barrier to learning) Disabling condition which adversely affects testing performance (e.g., clinically significant focusing
difficulties, physical or sensory disability, any disability that interferes with educational performance)
Member of a group that is overrepresented in the disability category of Mental Retardation or Functionally Delayed
Other:
None of the above factors apply
FOR ASSESSMENT PERSONNEL USE ONLY Are the above checked items compelling enough to indicate that this student's performance on traditional assessments may
be invalid or unreliable measures of cognition, adaptive behavior or academic achievement?
TEAM DECISION
 No, therefore, this student does not need alternative assessment. strategies Yes, therefore, this student needs an alternate assessment.
Recommended Alternative Assessment Strategies:
 Home Observation of Adaptive Behavior Standardized School Measure of Adaptive Behavior
□ Alternative Intelligence or Mental Ability Evaluation
Rationale: Additional Intelligence or Mental Ability Evaluation
Rationale: Alternative or Additional Measure of Achievement (Functionally Delayed)
Rationale:
Other: